

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.106

CRWP No.6565 of 2020

Date of Decision: 18th September, 2020.

Ranu & Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

...Respondents

(Heard through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Dr.Sushil Gautam, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl.AG, Haryana.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA (ORAL)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioners have sought the indulgence of this Court for issuance of a writ/order/direction in the nature of mandamus, directing respondents No.1 to 4 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.5 and 6 because they are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of these respondents. It has also been mentioned in the petition that the petitioners have already moved a representation (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, on 27.08.2020, in this regard.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only.

At the asking of the Court, Mr.Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl.AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-4).

Learned counsel for the State has no objection for the same.

Undisputedly, the protection of the life and liberty is a fundamental right of every citizen as enshrined in Article 21 of the Constitution of India. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the legality of the relationship between the petitioners, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, is hereby directed to look into the said representation (Annexure P-4) and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law. It is clarified that this order shall not be construed to be a shield to the petitioners against any proceedings initiated by the competent Authority/ person on account of their said relationship and permissible under any relevant provisions of law.

This petition stands disposed of.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

18.09.2020.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether Reportable?	Yes/No