

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

105

CRM-M-25144 of 2020

Date of decision:31.8.2020

Kulwant Singh and others

... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Sachin Ohri, Advocate,
for the petitioners

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

By this petition, the petitioners seek the concession of anticipatory bail, upon FIR no.7, dated 21.1.2020, having been registered at Police Station Dorangla, District Gurdaspur, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 452/326/324/323/506/34 of the IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the injuries attributed to the three petitioners herein are all simple injuries, with the matter in any case having been compromised with the complainant and the other injured, i.e. Angrej Singh and Jagtar Singh, with even the fourth accused, i.e. Jagjit Singh @ Gora, having compromised the matter as per the compromise deed (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion.

Mr.Harbir Sandhu, learned AAG, Punjab, accepts notice at the

asking of the court, he already having received instructions as regards the compromise reached between the petitioners and the 'other party'.

Upon query, he submits that as per his instructions, there is no other criminal case registered against the petitioners.

That being so, the petitioners would join investigation if they are required to do so under the circumstances; and upon doing so, if they are sought to be arrested, they shall be released on bail, on their furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Ilaqa Magistrate.

They shall also comply with all conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

If the investigating officer does not actually join the petitioners in investigation, they would appear before the learned Ilaqa Magistrate immediately, who would then summon the arresting officer and direct him to join them in investigation, in terms of the order of this Court.

Learned State counsel already having received instructions in the petition and the factum of the compromise with the petitioners at least not being also denied, seeing further the fact that there is no other criminal case registered against the petitioners as per the instructions of learned State counsel, the petition itself is allowed, as there would be no purpose in keeping it pending in the aforesaid circumstances.

31.8.2020
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No