

**In The High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CWP-13052-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 28.8.2020

Jagdeep Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner, who is posted as Conductor in State Transport challenge order dated 17.8.2020 (Annexure P-2), passed by office of Director, State Transport, Haryana, whereby he has been ordered to be transferred from Jind to Palwal. His specific transfer finds mentioned at Sr. No.273 in the impugned order.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

The transfer has been made in accordance with the online transfer policy (Annexure P-1). However, this Court finds that the policy itself provides in Clause No.8 (xiv), that an aggrieved transferred employee can represent to the department within 15 days from the issuance of such order. Since the learned counsel for the petitioner has informed that he had

in fact moved such representation dated 18.8.2020 (Annexure P-3), the respondents are directed that in case any such representation has been received, the same be decided in accordance with the prevalent policy. However, in case no such representation has been received, the petitioner would be at liberty to move fresh representation within the prescribed period as has been provided in the policy itself which shall be duly examined by the respondent concerned in accordance with the policy, expeditiously.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

August 28, 2020

mohan

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No