

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25172-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 01.09.2020

Salim and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. K.S. Derabassi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0059 dated 04.06.2020 under Sections 457, 380, 511, 323 IPC and Sections 381, 182, 177, 203 IPC (added later on), registered at Police Station Banur, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the FIR, which is registered on the statement of complainant Munish Tiwari, who has stated that he is working as Security Guard in a factory and on the date of incident, he along with one Onkar Tewari was present, when 3-4 unknown persons pushed them and he fell on the rock and his left leg was injured. When he raised voice, Onkar Tewari called the other Security Guard and in the meantime, assailants ran away from the spot. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been named subsequently, after arrest

of two co-accused namely Ranjodh @ Suraj, from whom car was recovered and Tinku.

Learned State counsel has, however, submitted that the theft of Rs.1.5 crores of articles including the machinery and electric motors has been committed and the petitioner has been nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of aforesaid two accused persons and since it is a case of theft, certain stolen articles are to be recovered from the petitioner.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, without commenting anything on merits of the case and looking into the serious allegations, I find no ground to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

01.09.2020
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No