

103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24844-2020

Date of Decision : 28.08.2020

Kuldip MasihPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

...
(through video conferencing)

Present: Mr. R.K.Arya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

...

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral):

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0041 dated 18.05.2020 under Sections 61-1-14 of Punjab Excise Act, 1914 at Police Station Qila Lal Singh Tehsil Batala District Gurdaspur.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the FIR in question. He further contends that while conducting the raid the mandate of Section 100(4) Cr.P.C. was not complied with and moreover the false implication of the petitioner was evident from the fact that he was not apprehended at the spot.

Heard.

A perusal of the petition shows that the petitioner is also involved in a number of similar cases which are all under Section 61 of the

Punjab Excise Act.

In view of the antecedents of the petitioner, he does not deserve the extraordinary concession of anticipatory bail.

The petition is dismissed.

28.08.2020
rupi

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Note: Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable:	Yes / No