

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.6603 of 2020 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 21.12.2020

PRIYANKA AND ANOTHERPetitioners
versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present: Ms. Nisha, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral):

Heard through video conferencing.

This is a criminal writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 7.

The case as set out in the petition is that petitioner No.1 is a minor and petitioner No.2 is a major, the date of birth of petitioner No.1 being 09.03.2003. The year of birth of petitioner No.2 is mentioned as 1996, however, there is no specific date forthcoming either from the petition or from the documents appended with the petition.

On 31.08.2020, the following order was passed”

“Petitioners have filed the present petition seeking direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty at the hands of the private respondents who are allegedly threatening to interfere in their peaceful married life.

It is the admitted case of the petitioners that petitioner No.1 is a minor since her date of birth is 09.03.2003. The learned State counsel shall apprise this Court after verification as to whether any FIR/DDR stands registered against petitioner No.2 with respect to the missing of petitioner No.1.

Adjourned to 01.09.2020.”

On 01.09.2020, the following order was passed:

“In compliance of the order dated 31.08.2020, learned State counsel after verifying from the authorities concerned has apprised this Court that a case i.e. FIR No.177 dated 20.08.2020 under Sections 363/366-A IPC at Police Station, City Rajpura already stands registered against petitioner No.2 at the instance of respondent No.4, who is father of petitioner No.1.

No one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioners.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 29.10.2020.”

Thereafter, the case has been got repeatedly adjourned on 29.10.2020, 06.11.2020, 25.11.2020 and 01.12.2020 on the request of the learned counsel for the petitioners.

Today, again a request for an adjournment has been made on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioners on the ground that the petitioners are not in contact with the counsel.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurwinder Singh, stated that the petitioners are on the run and petitioner No.2 has till date not come forward to join the investigation in the FIR pending against him.

In view of the above and, seeing the conduct of the petitioners, the Court is left with no option but to dismiss the present petition.

Dismissed.

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

December 21, 2020

kv

NOTE:

Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO