

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.13041 of 2020
Date of decision: 28.08.2020**

Udayveer Bhadana

..Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

A limited prayer has been made in the present petition for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to decide the pending appeal dated 04.08.2020 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner regarding release of his vehicle bearing registration No. HR-38-Z-8496.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the vehicle of the petitioner has been lying seized and because of the pendency of the appeal, the petitioner is not in a position to use the vehicle and a great financial loss has been caused to him. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case, the directions are issued to decide the pending appeal within some stipulated period.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 has been accepted by Mr. Aman Bahri, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Heard. We have also gone through the averments made in the petition.

By considering the limited prayer of the petitioner for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to decide the pending appeal, we deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to respondent No.2 to make all efforts to consider appeal dated 04.08.2020 (Annexure P-4) and decide the same in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. However, it is made clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

Disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

28.08.2020
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No