

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6527-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-28.08.2020.

Parul and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Mr. Shakti Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amar Ashok Pathak, Additional A.G., Punjab.

SANJAY KUMAR, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-801-2020

This application is ordered and the photographs are taken on record as Annexure P-3.

CRWP-6527-2020

The petitioners are both majors. They produced proof in respect of their dates of birth. The birth certificate dated 05.05.2007 of the first petitioner is placed on record in evidence of her date of birth being 09.03.2002. The second petitioner placed on record his Aadhar Card in proof of his date of birth. They claim to be married. However, the validity and legality of their marital status and relationship is not the subject matter of this writ petition. The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty from relations who are opposed to their relationship. The petitioners

claim to have made a representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala (respondent No. 2), in this regard.

As this Court does not propose to go into the merits of the matter, there is no necessity to put the unofficial respondents on notice or afford them an opportunity of hearing.

Trite to state, it is the bounden duty and obligation of the State to protect the lives and liberty of the people.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of directing the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala (respondent No. 2), to take appropriate action, as warranted and in accordance with law, upon the representation dated 24.08.2020 (Annexure P-4), and to extend adequate protection to the lives and liberty of the petitioners, if necessary. It shall be open to the police authorities concerned to verify and confirm the identity of the petitioners and their claim.

It is made clear that this Court has not gone into the validity or legality of the marital status claimed by the petitioners and this order shall not be construed as this Court's approval of the said relationship or certification of its validity.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

August 28, 2020.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No

Yes / No.