

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 12825 of 2020

Date of Decision: 26.8.2020

Dr. Jai Parkash

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. AG Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to COVID-19 situation.

The petition is filed seeking quashing of order dated 20th August, 2020 whereby the petitioner has been disengaged as an Extension Lecturer. The petitioner joined as Extension Lecturer of English on 19th July 2018. A certificate was issued on 29th February 2020 whereby his work and conduct was found satisfactory. The case of the petitioner is that he is eligible as per the guidelines issued by the State of Haryana for appointment of extension lecturer yet the impugned order has been passed disengaging him. The grievance *inter-alia* is that the order is bald of reasons.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Additional Advocate General,

Haryana, appears for State of Haryana on advance notice and

submits that the impugned order has been passed on the basis of a Committee Report wherein it was concluded that the petitioner does not hold a qualified valid UGC NET certificate.

Learned counsel for the petitioner refute the said averment and states that the petitioner qualified NET examination in December 2014.

From the impugned order, the reason now been relied upon by the State counsel to defend the order is not apparent. In such circumstance, the respondents are directed to pass afresh order in accordance with law after providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

In order to curtail any delay, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Principal, Government Post Graduate Nehru College, Jhajjar (Haryana), on 1st September, 2020 at 11:00 A.M. There is no doubt that the respondent will deal with the matter expeditiously and not later than 30th September, 2020.

The petitioner if aggrieved of the order passed, would be at liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

26.08.2020
reema

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |