

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25047-2020

Date of decision:-31.8.2020

Sandeep Mahant

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present : Mr.B.S. Jatana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J.(ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Petitioner Sandeep Mahant, aged about 44 years, Chela of Sunita Mahant, resident of Mansa has brought the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. with a prayer for issuing an appropriate direction to the respondents No.2 to 4, not to unnecessarily harass him and not to interfere in the peaceful possession of the petitioner at the instance of respondent No.5 since the dispute between the parties is of civil nature regarding which litigation is pending between the parties.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr.Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr.DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4. He states that as per his

instructions, the civil litigation has been decided in favour of private respondent No.5.

Nevertheless, if the petitioner is nursing any grouse and has submitted representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, copy Annexure P4 seeking protection of life and and liberty from private respondent No.5 Harpreet Kaur, then the same needs to be looked into and if some action is warranted, then that should be taken otherwise representation can be filed.

Hence, the present petition is disposed of directing the respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa to look into the grievances of the petitioner as contained in representation Annexure P4 and if finds that some action in the matter is required to be taken, then the needful be done in accordance with law, within a period of two months from today.

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

31.8.2020

Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No