

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

117

CWP-12829-2020

Date of Decision: 27.08.2020.

Ishwant Singh

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

Suvir Sehgal, J. (Oral)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Petitioner who is serving on the post of Supervisor under the Women and Child Development Department, State of Haryana has filed the instant petition assailing his transfer from Gurugram to Karnal vide impugned order dated 17.08.2020, Annexure P9.

It is the contention of counsel for the petitioner that the impugned transfer order is violative of the Transfer Policy, Annexure P5 framed by the State Government. Counsel has brought to the notice of this Court that in para 8(xvi) of the Transfer Policy, there is a provision for an aggrieved employee to make a representation to the department. It is submitted that a representation dated 20.08.2020, Annexure P-10, raising all objections against the transfer has been made but till date no decision has been taken thereupon.

Notice of motion at this stage to the respondents is confined to this limited issue.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Taya, AAG, Haryana joins proceedings and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. A complete copy of the writ petition already stands furnished.

State counsel does not have any objection in case a direction is issued to the concerned authority to decide the representation in a specific time frame.

Without examining the merits of the writ petition or of the representation, the competent authority is directed to consider the representation dated 20.08.2020, Annexure P10 and take a decision thereon within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Writ petition is disposed of.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

27.08.2020

komal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No