

CM-9220-2020 in/and
CWP-13125-2020
Date of decision: 22.09.2020

ROHTAS

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURIPresent: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

*(The case has been taken up through video conferencing on
account of Covid-19 Pandemic).*VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)CM-9220-2020

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. The
copy of order dated 17.08.2020 (Annexure P-6) is taken on record.

The application is allowed.

CWP-13125-2020

The petitioner is aggrieved by the transfer order dated 17.08.2020
(Annexure P-6) vide which he has been transferred from Gurugram to Karnal. As
per the transfer policy the employees are at liberty to make representation to the
department in any manner aggrieved by the transfer order.

It has been stated that the representation dated 20.08.2020 (Annexure
P-7) (stated to be wrongly typed as 20.03.2020) has already been submitted to
respondent No.3 but no action has been initiated thereupon.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amrik Singh Narwal, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf

representation (if submitted subsequent to the issuance of the transfer order) shall be taken within a period of 15 days.

Without making any further observations with regard to the genuineness of the allegations, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the grievance of the petitioner as projected through the representation dated 20.08.2020 (Annexure P-7) in accordance with law.

22.09.2020
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No