

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(Proceedings conducted through video Conference)

211

CRM-M-24811-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.09.2020

PARVESH KUMAR AND ANR

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate for the petitioners.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of regular bail in FIR No.3 dated 11.01.2020 registered at Police Station City Kurali for offence punishable under Section 20/29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, pending trial.

Heard.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab.

Counsel for the petitioners would argue that case of the petitioners is exactly identical to that of Ravindra Mahato Chauhan co-accused (non-applicant). It is further argued that as per case of the prosecution, 12 kgs of ganja was recovered from petitioner No.1 and 10 kgs from petitioner No.2 and the same falls within non-commercial quantity. The last submission made by counsel is that petitioners are ready to face trial without any default.

Counsel representing State of Punjab has not disputed the factual submissions but opposed the bail application.

Without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the case, petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Additional Sessions Judge (on duty), SAS Nagar (Mohali). However, they shall abide by the following conditions:-

(i) They shall not, directly or indirectly, make any

inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and

(ii) They shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

Disposed of.

03.09.2020

ashok

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No