

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CRM-M No.24653 of 2020
Date of decision: 01.10.2020

Rupesh Vij

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Madhur Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

*(the aforesaid presence is being recorded through video
conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in Virtual Court).*

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner – Rupesh Vij, stated to be aged 24 years, has filed the present petition *inter alia* with a prayer to direct respondent Nos. 2 to 8 not to harass and humiliate the petitioner by calling him time and again at Police Station without assigning any reasonable reason and without adopting the proper procedure of law and to decide the complaints dated 11.08.2020(Annexures P-2 and P-3), with a further prayer to protect the life of the petitioner from the hands of private respondents No. 9 and 10 and also direct respondents No. 2 to 8 to issue seven days prior notice in terms of Section 160 Cr. P.C.

Learned counsel for the petitioner based on pleadings, submits that the petitioner is aggrieved on account of high handedness of the police authorities especially the officer named in para 2 of the petition. By making

reference to para 3 of the petition, it is submitted that the private respondents are using their influence and on account of that coercive steps are being taken against the petitioner to settle the dispute with the private respondents to the effect that the petitioner would not ask for the amount of friendly loan given by him to private respondents. Learned counsel then submits that in para 8 of the petition, the incident that occurred on 04.08.2020 has been noticed. He submits that they had also submitted a representations/complaints to respondents No. 2 and 3 dated 11.08.2020 (copies attached as Annexures P-2 and P-3).

Records of the case show that on 27.08.2020, the State was directed to seek instructions in respect of the averments made in the petition. However, no status report has been filed.

Learned State counsel prays for some more time to file the status report.

Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition be disposed of with a direction to the Senior Officers to personally examine the matter as there are allegations against the private respondents as well as the police officials.

Accepting the request of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a directions to respondent No.2 to depute the senior officer to examine the complaints/representations dated 11.08.2020 (Annexures P-2 and P-3). It is also directed that the respondent No.2 may further direct the Senior Officer to examine the submissions in the representations/complaints and in view of the threat perception, direct the Senior Officer to take action

in accordance with law, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

01.10.2020.
jyoti3

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No