

COCp-1676-2020

Date of Decision: 10.12.2020

Jagmohan Data

...Petitioner

vs.

Anurag Tripathi

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Ferry Sofat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Seemar, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.
2. Prayer in the petition under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentionally and willfully disobeying order Annexure P/1 dated 16.11.2019, in CWP-33201-2019.
3. Learned counsel contends that vide order Annexure P/1 dated 16.11.2019, CWP-33201-2019 was disposed of by directing the respondent to decide the claim made in the demand notice dated 30.07.2019 in accordance with law within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and on failure of the respondent to do the needful, the instant Contempt Petition was filed.
4. Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent states that order dated 07.12.2020, has been passed, deciding the claim made in demand notice dated 30.07.2019, therefore, no further orders are called for in the Contempt Petition and the same may be disposed of as such. Order dated

the petitioner who on perusal of the same states that he does not press the Contempt Petition and the same may be disposed of as such while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 07.12.2020, by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

5. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the Contempt Petition is disposed of as not pressed with the liberty as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

10.12.2020
'Rajesh-PS'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No