

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh
(Proceedings conducted through Video Conference)**

**CRWP No. 6402 of 2020
Date of Decision: 26.8.2020**

Simran Rani and another	---Petitioners
versus	
State of Haryana and others	---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bhateja, Advocate, for the petitioners

Rekha Mittal, J.

By invoking Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners pray for issuance of appropriate writ, order or directions especially a writ in the nature of mandamus for protection of their life and liberty.

Counsel for the petitioners would argue that even if petitioner No. 2 had not reached age of 21 years being 20 years and 10 months old at the time of marriage, at best, the marriage would be voidable but the private respondents have no right to cause damage to lives of the petitioners.

The allegations raised by the petitioners are that they performed legal/valid marriage but against wishes of private respondents No. 4 to 7 at whose behest they are apprehending threat to their life and liberty.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

Heard.

Without going into the question of validity or otherwise of alleged marriage of the petitioners, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, District Sirsa to look into grievance of the petitioners and take appropriate action, if any, desired in the given circumstances.

**(Rekha Mittal)
Judge**

26.8.2020

PARAMJIT	Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes
	Whether reportable	: Yes/No