

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

**CRWP No.6434 of 2020
Date of Decision: 27.8.2020**

GURWINDER KAUR

**..... Petitioner
Vs**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Gaurav Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India in the nature of mandamus for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of respondents No.6 to 12.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner is a lady and is living with her son in village Dhindsa Tehsil and District Gurdaspur. Gagan Sharma and his family members are known to her, who use to render help to her occasionally on humanitarian ground. Respondent No.9, who is wife of Gagan Sharma, is having matrimonial dispute with her husband. She came to the house of the petitioner in the month

of May 2020 and secretly took some objectionable photographs of the petitioner from her mobile. Thereafter, respondent No.9 started pressurizing the petitioner to give false complaint against her husband or become witness in the complaints filed by her against her husband. When the petitioner refused to oblige her, she circulated the photographs of the petitioner in public domain in connivance with other private respondents. Respondent No.9 filed a complaint dated 29.5.2020 against her husband in which she annexed the photographs of the petitioner. This was done by respondent No.9 just to defame the petitioner.

On coming to know about the aforesaid fact, petitioner filed a representation before respondents No.3 on 19.6.2020 (Annexure P-1). Filing of the aforesaid representation annoyed the private respondents, therefore, they came to the house of the petitioner on 22.6.2020 and gave beatings and injury to her. Petitioner was medico legally examined on 22.6.2020 and injuries were found on her person.

Petitioner again represented before respondent No.2 by way of complaint/ representation dated 6.7.2020 (Annexure P-3), but no action has been taken either on the representation dated 19.6.2020 filed before respondent No.3 and complaint/ representation dated 6.7.2020 filed before respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 5.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling upon any response from the respondents as no order prejudicial to interest of any party is being passed.

In view of above, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to have a fair look on the representation/ complaint filed by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded herein above.

It would be appreciated if the representation/ complaint filed by the petitioner is decided within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

August 27, 2020

anita

- | | | | |
|----|---------------------------|---|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable | : | Yes/No |