

213-3
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.24400 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on: 23.11.2020

Parveen Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Pardhuman Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manish Verma, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.102 dated 03.07.2020, registered under Sections 304-B, 149 IPC at Police Station Dakha, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

The operative part of the order dated 26.08.2020, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that an FIR No. 102 dated 03.07.2020 under Section 304-B, 149 IPC, 1860 was registered at Police Station Dakha, Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) on the statement of Balwinder Kaur. The contents of the FIRs were that the daughter of the complainant i.e. Amandeep Kaur got married with Bajrang Bansal @ Raman on 29.03.2020. After marriage, the sister- in-law Poonam Garg and brothers-in-law Parveen Kumar, Sandeep Kumar and mother-in-law

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

Sheela Devi used abusive language for not bringing dowry and belonging to different caste. On 30.06.2020, Bajrang (son-in-law) and her daughter started to reside in flat No. 15-B in Aerocity at village Devatwal on rent. On 02.07.2020 Bajrang took his sister-in-law Poonam Garg, Monika Jain and mother-in-law Sheela Devi for shopping in city and left her daughter in home. The complainant was told that her son-in-law quarreled with her daughter and damaged her phone. On 03.07.2020 some person told the complainant the her daughter committed suicide by hanging herself with fan in flat.

Notice of motion....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 26.08.2020, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State assisted by counsel for the complainant and on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 26.08.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

23.11.2020
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No