

Ajab Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Ashok Kumar and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Sections 10 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is for taking action against the respondents for non compliance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 05.12.2019 in CWP No.35348 of 2019 in case titled as '*Ajab Singh and others* versus *State of Haryana and others.*'

3. Learned counsel contends that vide order (Annexure P-1) dated 05.12.2019, the writ petition was disposed of by directing the respondents to decide the claim made in legal notice dated 14.08.2019 in accordance with law by taking into account all aspects of the matter within 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order but till date, needful has not been done therefore the respondents are liable to be proceeded

against under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

4. Learned State Counsel has placed on record a short reply on behalf of the respondents along with order (Annexure R-6) dated 09.10.2020, deciding the claim made in legal notice dated 14.08.2019. The same is taken on record, copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners who on perusal of the same states that in the circumstances, he does not press the contempt petition, and prays that the same may be disposed of as such, while granting liberty to the petitioners to challenge order (Annexure R-6) dated 09.10.2020 by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

6. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the contempt petition is ***disposed of*** as not pressed with the liberty, as prayed for.

November 25, 2020

'Rajneesh-Amit'

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No