

**217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12856-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision : 22.09.2020

Krishan Kumar Malik

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present: Ms. Ridhi Bansal, Advocate, for
Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Moudgil, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Puneet Jindal, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Tajinder Singh, Advocate, for respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

ALKA SARIN, J. (ORAL)

Heard through Video Conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, states that the present petition has been rendered infructuous inasmuch as the petitioner has since been reinstated subject to the outcome of the enquiry proceedings. She further states that the petitioner be given liberty to avail his legal remedies in accordance with law once the enquiry proceedings are concluded.

Mr. Puneet Jindal, Senior Advocate, appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.3 and 4, has also reiterated that the petitioner has since been reinstated subject to the outcome of the disciplinary proceedings pending against him.

In view of the above, the present petition has been rendered infructuous. The petitioner would always be at liberty to avail all the remedies available to him in accordance with law on the conclusion of the disciplinary proceedings against him.

September 22, 2020

tripti

**(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasonable: Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.