

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CrI. Misc. No. M-24208 of 2020
Date of Decision: August 25, 2020

Mitra @ Mitra Gogi

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 198 dated 16.07.2020, registered under Section 61 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 at Police Station Dinanagar, District Gurdaspur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that false implication can not be ruled out as during a raid conducted by the police, escape of the petitioner was unlikely. Recovery has already been effected and, thus, custodial interrogation is not necessary.

I am unable to accept the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner because there are five other criminal cases pending against the petitioner as is evident from the order of the learned Additional Sessions Judge. It is evident that the police wants the petitioner behind bars on account of her criminal antecedents. Such a person does not deserve the discretionary relief of grant of anticipatory bail.

The petition is, accordingly, dismissed. The petitioner shall, however, be at liberty to surrender and on being produced before a Magistrate, apply for

regular bail. In case such an application is filed, the concerned trial Court will

decide the same as expeditiously as possible and in any case not later than seven days from the date of filing of the application.

August 25, 2020
reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No