

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

CRWP No.6403 of 2020(O&M)

Date of decision: 26.8.2020

Keshav Gandhi and another

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. A.P. Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioners.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

By invoking Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners pray for issuance of appropriate writ, order or directions especially a writ in the nature of mandamus for protection of their life and liberty.

Perusal of allegations raised in the petition would reveal that both the petitioners are less than the legally required age for performance of marriage under Hindu Law.

Counsel for the petitioners would argue that even if the petitioners have not attained the required age, the marriage, at best, can be voidable and not void.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

Heard.

Without going into the question of validity or otherwise of the alleged marriage of the petitioners, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur to look into grievance of the petitioners and take appropriate steps warranted in the circumstances, in accordance with law.

AUGUST 26, 2020		(REKHA MITTAL)
‘D. Gulati’		JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	:	yes/no
Whether reportable	:	yes/no