

**106 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12649 of 2020

Date of Decision:25.08.2020

BRIJ MOHAN KRISHAN SHOREY

...Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Rajeev Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for UOI.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the representation dated 14.07.2020 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioner.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the representation dated 14.07.2020 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioner, by passing a speaking order and after

affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within one months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

August 25, 2020

(DEEPAK SIBAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No