

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.113

**LPA-524-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.10.2020**

RAVI KUMAR AND OTHERS

...Applicant/Appellants

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Non-applicant/Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. SATYANARAYANA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI**

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. D.S. Patwalia, Senior Advocate, assisted by
Mr. Kannan Malik, Advocate,
for the private respondents.

S.N. SATYANARAYANA, J.

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing
in the light of COVID-19 pandemic.

The petitioners in CWP-7291-2020 on the file of learned
Single Judge of this Court, have come up in this intra-Court appeal,
impugning the order dated 30.07.2020 passed on CM-5677-2020 in
the said proceedings. Admittedly, the said writ petition is still pending
and the order passed in CM-5677-2020 does not decide the grievance
of the petitioner in its entirety, on the merits of the case.

The order that is passed on CM-5677-2020, being an
interlocutory order, we are of the considered opinion that the same
cannot be interfered, at this juncture. However, we would observe that
the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners in aforesaid writ
petition, may request the learned Single Judge to take up this matter,

at the earliest, and dispose of the same on merits.

With such observation, the intra-Court appeal filed by the appellants in CWP-7291-2020, impugning the order passed in CM-5677-2020, is hereby dismissed.

Since the LPA is dismissed, pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(S.N. SATYANARAYANA)
JUDGE

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

27.10.2020
himanshu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No