

107
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6353-2020
Date of decision: 25.8.2020

Gayatri and another **.....Petitioners.**

vs.

State of Punjab and others **.....Respondents.**

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: - Mr. Gagandeep Singh Simble, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. S.P.S. Tinna, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

.....

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are both majors. They claim to be married. However, the validity and legality of their marital status and relationship is not the subject matter of this writ petition. The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty from relations who are opposed to their relationship. The petitioners claim to have made a representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, District Pathankot, (respondent No. 2), in this regard.

Trite to state, it is the bounden duty and obligation of the State to protect the lives and liberty of the people.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of directing the Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, District Pathankot, to take appropriate action, as warranted and in accordance with law, upon the representation dated 19.08.2020 (Annexure P-4), and to extend adequate protection to the lives and liberty of the petitioners, if necessary. It shall be open to the police authorities concerned to verify and confirm the identity of the petitioners and their claim.

It is made clear that this Court has not gone into the validity or legality of the marital status claimed by the petitioners and this order shall not be construed as this Court's approval of the said relationship or certification of its validity.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

25.8.2020

preeti	
whether speaking/non speaking	yes/no
whether reportable/non reportable	yes/no