

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6430-2020

Date of Decision :27.08.2020

Sukhjeet Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.
2. At the very outset, learned counsel states that the petitioners have submitted representation Annexure P/2 dated 08.01.2020, to respondent No.2, in respect of the grievances which are the subject matter of the instant petition but that no decision has been taken in respect thereto till date and in the circumstances, the petitioners would be satisfied if the petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation Annexure P/2 dated 08.01.2020, in accordance with law.
3. Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.
4. Mr. H.S. Sullar, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice and after perusal of the advance copy of the petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.
5. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the

of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation Annexure P/2 dated 08.01.2020, in accordance with law, to assess the threat perception to the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 6 and provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners if the circumstances of the case so warrant as expeditiously as possible.

6. Petition disposed of with the aforementioned directions.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

August 27, 2020.
'Rajesh-Amit'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No