

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6327-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 25.08.2020

Swaran Singh

...PETITIONER

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

This criminal writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of a direction to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 6, who are harassing them.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the representation dated 26.05.2020 (Annexure P-1) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has already been handed over to

learned State counsel.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Shri Muktsar Sahib to consider and decide the representation dated 26.05.2020 (Annexure P-1) within four weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of four weeks thereafter, in accordance with law. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

25.08.2020

jyoti-II

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No