

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

**CRWP No.6444 of 2020
Date of Decision: 27.8.2020**

MANAK SINGH

..... Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Ms.Jasneet Mehra, advocate for
Mr.L.M.Gulati, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner is 64 years of age and is a senior citizen. He has filed this petition for issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect his life and liberty from being invaded by respondents No.4 and 5.

Respondent No.4 is serving in Punjab Police and is involved in giving threats to the petitioner in connection of leakage of his house. There is a common wall between the house of the petitioner and that of respondent No.4. According to the petitioner, there is no leakage from the side of petitioner's

house. Respondent No.4 keeps on fighting unnecessarily with the petitioner and his family members and also used to abuse in filthy language. Respondent No.4 being a police man used to give threat to the petitioner and his family members regarding their false implication in some criminal case. In view of the prevailing situation at the spot, petitioner has already moved representation/complaint dated 11.8.2020 to respondent No.3- Station House Officer (Annexure P-1) and also to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Amritsar (Annexure P-2), but no action has been taken by respondent Nos.2 and 3 so far.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos 1 to 3.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling upon any response from the respondents as no order prejudicial to interest of any party is being passed.

In view of above, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to have a fair look on the representation/complaint filed by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law within a period of one month from

the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Respondent No.3 would be at liberty to take lawful action in case cognizable offence is made out. If no cognizable offence is made out, the petitioner be apprised by the fate of his representation/ complaint as per parameters laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

August 27, 2020

anita

- | | | | |
|----|---------------------------|---|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable | : | Yes/No |