

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.1643 of 2020
Date of Decision :24.08.2020

Baljinder Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Gurlovleen Singh Sidhu

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE

Present: Mr.Vikas Chatrath, Advocate with
Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate for the respondent.
(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing
since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court)

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

The petitioners have filed the present contempt petition alleging willful and intentional disobedience of the judgment and order dated 13.09.2019, rendered by this Court in CWP No.25756-2019 (Baljinder Singh and another Vs. Pepsu Road Transport Corporation), vide which the respondent-authority was required to decide and pass a speaking order upon the legal notice dated 11.06.2019, it was served with, within a period of three months and in the event it was found that petitioners were entitled to any monetary benefits, to disburse the same within a period of next three months.

Notice.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

At the outset, he regrets the delay that has occurred in complying with the judgment and order dated 13.09.2019. However, he

submits that post March 2020, but for, the prevailing Pandemic (COVID-19), the respondent would have decided the claim of the petitioners. He submits that he has specifically been instructed by the respondent to undertake that the concerns raised by the petitioners in the legal notice dated 11.06.2019, shall be addressed and an order in this regard shall be passed within two weeks from today and if the petitioners are found entitled to any monetary benefits the same shall be released within four weeks thereafter.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that let this petition be disposed of in the wake of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms. However, it is made clear that if the respondent fails to adhere to the undertaking furnished before this Court not only the petitioners shall be at liberty to move an application for revival of the instant contempt petition but the matter shall also be viewed seriously.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

24.08.2020

Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No