

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.1655 of 2020

Date of Decision : 24.08.2020

Shinderpal Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Sandeep Kumar Garg

....Respondent

**Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia**

Present: Mr. Puneet Pali, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**B.S. Walia, J. (VC)**

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for taking action against the respondent for willfully and deliberately disobeying order (Annexure P-1) dated 19.03.2020, passed by this Court in CRWP No.2873 of 2020 in case titled as 'Shinderpal Kaur versus State of Punjab and others'.

3. Learned counsel contends that vide order dated 19.03.2020, CRWP No.2873 of 2020 was disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to look into the matter and dispose of representation, submitted by the petitioner expeditiously, in accordance with law and in case the allegations made therein were found to be well-founded then to take necessary steps as per law at the earliest but till date needful has not been done.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Ms. Deepali Puri, learned Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice

on behalf of the respondent and states that the petitioner has concealed the factual position, for pursuant to the enquiry got conducted by the respondent, the case as set up by the petitioner was found to be false, whereupon, a cancellation report was submitted, on which notice has been issued by the learned trial Court to the petitioner herein for 01.12.2020, therefore, the contempt petition is liable to be dismissed with costs.

6. Faced with the aforementioned position, counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the contempt petition, with liberty to take out appropriate proceedings qua his grievance with regard to his cancellation report, submitted by the police before the learned trial Court in accordance with law.

7. The same is not opposed to by learned State Counsel.

8. In view of the position noted above, the contempt petition is ***dismissed as withdrawn***, with the liberty as prayed for.

**(B.S. Walia)**  
**Judge**

**August 24, 2020**  
*'Rajneesh-Amit'*

|                                   |   |               |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i> | : | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>         | : | <i>Yes/No</i> |