

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12555-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 24.08.2020

Raj Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sachin Gupta Ladwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, A.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance a direction to the respondents to decide the stay application dated 14.08.2020 filed by the petitioner along with the revision petition No. 76 of 2020 titled as ***Raj Singh Versus DRCS and others*** (Annexure P-4) against the impugned order/proceedings dated 03.07.2020 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that stay application dated 14.08.2020 filed in the revision petition No. 76 of 2020 titled as ***Raj Singh Versus DRCS and others*** (Annexure P-4) is not being taken up for hearing by respondent No. 2. At this stage, he submits that he would be

satisfied in case the stay application filed by him along with the revision petition is decided by respondent No.2-Addl. Chief Secretary, Cooperation Department, 8th Floor, Haryana Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh, in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel assures that the revision petition would be taken up and decided by respondent No. 2 expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has already been supplied to learned State counsel.

In view of the above, the intended purpose of filing the instant petition is fully achieved. Respondent No. 2-Addl. Chief Secretary, Cooperation Department, 8th Floor, Haryana Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh is directed to decide the revision petition expeditiously and in case the same is not decided on the next date of hearing, at least the stay application be decided promptly as per law.

Till the stay application in above mentioned revision petition is not decided, the operation and effect of the impugned order dated 03.07.2020 (Annexure P-3) shall remain stayed. No further order is warranted in the matter.

Disposed of.

24.08.2020
Jyoti-II

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No