

**(117) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6319-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.08.2020

Sonia and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

In virtual Court

Present : Mr. Rajesh Duhan, Advocate for the petitioners.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent No.4, not to harass or interfere in the peaceful married life of the petitioners.
2. The counsel for the petitioners has submitted that he has verified the identity of the petitioners.
3. The petitioners seek protection of their life and liberty by contending that both of them having attained the age of majority, have married each other against the wishes of their respective family members/respondent No.4 and so seek appropriate protection from the authorities. They claim to have submitted representation (Annexures P-5) in this regard to the Superintendent of Police, Jind on 18.08.2020, but are still apprehensive about their safety and security in view of the alleged

inaction of the police and the alleged clout of their family members/respondents.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

6. Both the petitioners do appear to have crossed the age of majority as seen from the copies of documents filed and claim to have married each other, in support of which photographs (Annexures P-3) have been placed on record.

7. For the aforesaid reasons, this appears to be a fit case for this Court to invoke the writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to ensure the right to life and liberty as guaranteed to them by Article 21 of the Constitution.

8. Thus, the Superintendent of Police, Jind, is directed to consider the representation dated 18.08.2020 (Annexure-P-5) and take appropriate steps to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners. It is nevertheless clarified that this order is issued only on the premise that the petitioners have crossed the age of majority; as seen from the documents placed on record; being Birth Certificate of petitioner No.1 and Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.2 (Annexures P-1 & P-2). The petitioners have produced on record a marriage certificate (Annexures P-4) qua their stated marriage. However, this order would not ipso facto amount to granting any seal of approval on the legality of their marriage, which essentially would come in the domain of the concerned Matrimonial Courts. Further, they would not be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal on of any cognizable offence(s).

9. The petition is disposed of with the above direction.

24.08.2020
rajeev

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No