

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.101

CRM-M-23839-2020

Date of decision: 21.08.2020

Baljeet Singh @ Kaka

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Ms. Rajvinder Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Harmeet, Advocate
for the complainant.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner sought anticipatory bail for the petitioner on the ground that the matter had been compromised between the parties as also that the present FIR was a counter blast to a complaint made by the petitioner against the complainant prior to the lodging of the present FIR.

Both the above issues were raised and pressed in an earlier petition filed by the petitioner seeking therein anticipatory bail being CRM-M-20072-2020 – Baljeet Singh @ Kaka versus State of Haryana. However, when the Court was disinclined to agree, such petition was unconditionally withdrawn on 31.07.2020.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to again withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

August 21, 2020

Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No