

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.1622of 2020

Date of Decision: 18.11.2020

Madan Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajiv Arora and another

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Ashok Tyagi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. AG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Reply filed by the learned State Counsel is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.
3. Learned Addl. AG, Haryana, states that Hon'ble the Division Bench in LPA No.544-2020, vide order dated 28.08.2020, has stayed judgment dated 02.03.2020, in CWP No.25226-2016 and states that in the circumstances the Contempt Petition does not call for any orders at this stage and may be disposed of as such.
4. Faced with the aforementioned position, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances, he does not press the Contempt Petition and the same may be disposed of as not pressed at this stage while granting liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the Contempt Petition, if the circumstances of the case, so warrant.

5. The same is not opposed to by learned State Counsel.

6. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the Contempt Petition is disposed of as not pressed at this stage while granting liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the Contempt Petition, if the circumstances of the case, so warrant, pursuant to the decision of LPA No.544-2020.

**(B.S. Walia)**  
**Judge**

18.11.2020  
*'PS-Rajesh'*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No