

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-12609-2020(O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.8.2020

Raja Chatterjee & others

--Petitioners

Versus

Punjabi University Patiala & another

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Akash Soni, Advocate for the petitioners.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Matter has been taken up through video conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

In the present writ petition the grievance which is being raised by the petitioners is that the enhanced D.A from 80% to 90% from 1.7.2013 to 31.1.2014 and thereafter further enhanced from 90% to 100% from 1.1.2014 to 30.9.2014 and still further enhanced from 100% to 107% for the period 1.7.2014 to 28.2.2015 has not been released to them and the prayer of the petitioners is that directions be issued to the respondents to release the said benefit along with interest @ 9% per annum.

Counsel has adverted to the orders passed by different Coordinate Benches of this Court at Annexures P-6 to P-9 whereunder similar relief had been sought and the writ petitions had been disposed of by issuing directions to the respondent authorities to consider the legal notice (s) that had been served by the petitioners therein and to take a final decision thereupon.

Counsel prays that similar course of action be followed in the instant petition as well.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ashish Verma, Advocate, who has also joined proceedings, accepts notice on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2 i.e. the contesting respondents and waives service.

Mr. Verma very fairly submits that in case a legal notice is served on behalf of the petitioners, the same would be considered in accordance with law and a final decision would be duly conveyed.

In view of the above, the instant writ petition is disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioners to file a detailed comprehensive legal notice as regards their claim within a period of three weeks from today. In the eventuality of any such legal notice being served, the respondent authorities would be obligated to examine the same and to pass a detailed speaking order thereupon within a period of six weeks from the date of submission of the legal notice.

Suffice it to observe that in case the petitioners are found entitled to the claim that they are seeking, the necessary financial benefits would be made over to them without any further delay.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

24.8.2020

lucky	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable:	Yes/No