

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6741-2020

Decided on :September 3, 2020

Bharti and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

(Through Video Conferencing)

Present: Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

In this writ petition filed under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of *Mandamus* to direct respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners as they apprehend threat at the hands of private respondents Nos.4 to 11, after their marriage on 28.06.2020.

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, if the petition is disposed off with a direction to the official respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 29.07.2020 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Mr. Apoorv Garg., DAG., Haryana who has joined the proceedings through video conferencing accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, the petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2— Superintendent of Police, District Nuh, Mewat to look into the representation dated 29.7.2020 (Annexure P-5) and the threat perception as alleged by them at the earliest and thereafter take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

September 3, 2020

archana

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No