

222

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 23983 of 2020
Date of Decision: 27.08.2020

Angrej Singh

-Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab

-Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Deepinder Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.P. Singh, D.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case bearing FIR No.169 dated 10.10.2019 under Section 302 IPC and under Section 25/27 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Sadar, Bathinda.

As per the prosecution case, after the demise of Gurcharan Singh, Sukhpal Kaur was married to younger brother of the complainant namely Gurjant Singh. Sukhpal Kaur was residing with him since last 30/32 years. Marriage of Chanan Singh son of Sukhpal Kaur was solemnized with Parminder

Kaur. After the death of Chanan Singh, she was married to the petitioner i.e. another son of Sukhpal Kaur. A dispute arose between Sukhpal Kaur and Gurjant Singh. Gurjant Singh was living separately. Gurjant Singh was working as Security Guard in E-School and was in possession of the licensed gun. On 09.10.2019, the complainant was having his meal in the evening and he sat near the main gate. At about 9.00 PM, Gurjant Singh came in a drunkard condition armed with his licensed gun and he started abusing the son of the complainant namely Charanjit Singh @ Jeet and blamed him for creating differences and causing separation between him and Sukhpal Kaur. The complainant tried to stop Gurjant Singh and in the meanwhile, petitioner also arrived there on hearing commotion. Both attempted to stop Gurjant Singh, but before that, Gurjant Singh fired a shot from his licensed gun on Charanjit Singh's chest and he died on the spot. Petitioner and Gurjant Singh got involved in a scuffle. Gurjant Singh succeeded in fleeing from there along with his licensed gun.

After three months of the occurrence, supplementary statement of the complainant was recorded thereby labeling the petitioner as the main accused and the original perpetrator of the crime.

Supplementary statement was recorded on

08.01.2020 wherein the complainant Bhola Singh and his wife got recorded their statements with the police that their son Charanjit Singh was murdered by the petitioner.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that challan has been presented, but charges have not been framed so far. There is only supplementary statement of Bhola Singh and his wife against the petitioner.

Petitioner is in custody since 10.01.2020.

Keeping in view the situation arising out of pandemic COVID-19 and without meaning anything on the merits of the case, petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/concerned Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

27.08.2020
Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No