

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-14408-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.09.2020

AKHIL KUMAR SHRIVASTAV AND ANOTHER

....*Petitioners*

VS.

RESERVE BANK OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...*Respondents*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA**

Present: Mr. Anuj Dewan, Advocate,
 for the petitioners.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Case has been taken through video conferencing.

The petitioners have prayed for the issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* for seeking a direction to respondents No. 1 and 2 to take necessary action against respondent No. 3.

Counsel for the petitioners has fairly conceded that for the purpose of seeking a writ in the nature of *mandamus*, the petitioners, not only have to show that they have a legal right and the respondents to whom the direction has to be issued has the corresponding duty but also the demand for justice raised by them. It is fairly conceded that the petitioners have not raised any demand to respondents No. 1 and 2 before coming to this Court for the purpose of taking action against respondent No. 3.

Faced with this difficulty, counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of this petition at this stage.

In view of the aforesaid, this writ petition is hereby dismissed at this stage with liberty to the petitioners to raise a demand for redressal of their grievances to respondents No. 1 and 2. In case such a demand is raised

by the petitioners to respondents No. 1 and 2 for taking action against respondent No. 3, the same shall be decided by respondents No. 1 and 2 in accordance with law, as early as possible, but preferably within two weeks from the date receipt of the representation.

[RAKESH KUMAR JAIN]
JUDGE

September 15, 2020
Ess Kay

[ASHOK KUMAR VERMA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	/	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	/	No