

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

120

CWP-12723-2020

Date of decision : 26.08.2020.

Suraj

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Sachin Gupta Ladwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the services of the petitioner were terminated without any notice and he has been replaced by another contractual employee which is impermissible. He further contends that a legal notice dated 15.06.2020 (Annexure P-2) has been sent in this regard to the respondents but no action has been taken thereon. He also contends that the petitioner would be satisfied if the legal notice (Annexure P-2) be decided by respondent No.4 within the stipulated period in accordance with law.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 15.06.2020 (Annexure P-2) in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

August 26, 2020

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No