

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

**CRWP-6174-2020
Decided on : 20.08.2020**

Surinder Kaur & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr. S.S.Kainth, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.B.S.Sewak, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

(The proceedings are being conducted through video conferencing,
as per instructions.)

G.S. Sandhawal, J. (Oral)

In the present petition filed under Articles 226-227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners seek directions to provide protection to the life and liberty from the private respondents No. 4 to 9, who are relatives of petitioner No.1 on account of their marriage which has taken place on 18.06.2020 as per Sikh Rites and Rituals in Gurudwara Dashmesh Pita Patshahi Dasvi (Regd.), District Mohali. The photographs of the marriage and marriage certificate are annexed as Annexures P-4 & P-5.

The petitioners are stated to be major, as the date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 28.05.1988 and is around 32 years of age, as per the certificate dated 05.06.2005 issued by the Punjab School Education Board and as per photocopy of the AADHAR Card, appended as Annexures P-1 and P-2 and the date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 27.04.1989 as per photocopy of the AADHAR Card, appended as Annexure P-3.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CRWP-6174-2020

-2-

It is the case of the petitioners that they are employed and had got married and approached this Court by way of filing CRWP-4011-2020, which was dismissed as withdrawn on 22.06.2020 (Annexure P-6) since the family of petitioner No.1 had held out that they would perform a marriage ceremony and matter having been settled between them. The said respondents have, however, stepped back from their assurance and have started to threaten the petitioners due to which petitioner No.1 had left her parental house and joined the company of petitioner No.2. A representation dated 14.08.2020 (Annexure P-7) has also been filed with respondent No.2, in this regard.

Notice of motion.

State Counsel accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Accordingly, keeping in view the fact that the petitioners have got married and in view of the binding precedent of the ***Hon'ble Apex Court in Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another 2006(2) SCC (Criminal) 478***, the present petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to look into the above said representation dated 14.08.2020 (Annexure P-7) filed by the petitioners and take appropriate action in accordance with law and provide protection, if so required.

AUGUST 20, 2020
sailesh

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No