

AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6186-2020

Date of Decision : 07.09.2020

Dheeraj and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. B.S. Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the petition under Articles 226 / 227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus for directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners as also for directing respondent Nos.4 to 6 not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioners.
3. Learned DAG, Punjab, states that statement of petitioner No.2 has been recorded that she has been engaged by her parents to petitioner No.1 and that she would be married to petitioner No.1 on his attaining the age of 21 years. Learned counsel states that statement of parents of petitioner No.2 i.e. respondents Nos.4 and 5 beside of respondent No.6 i.e. brother of petitioner No.2, have also been recorded of petitioner No.2 having been engaged with petitioner No.1 and that the petitioners would be married on petitioner No.1 attaining 21 years, besides petitioner No.2 has also suffered statement that she

and petitioner No.1 have no apprehension of danger at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners on instructions from the petitioners states that he does not press the petition any further in view of amicable settlement of the dispute and may be permitted to withdraw the same.
6. The same is not opposed to by learned DAG, Punjab.
7. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

September 7, 2020
'Rajesh-Rajneesh

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>