

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRWP-6144-2020

Date of Decision : August 20, 2020

Mamta and another

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

.... RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Mr. J.P. Jangu, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

...

Sanjay Kumar, J.

The petitioners are both majors. They claim to be married. However, the validity and legality of their marital status and relationship is not the subject matter of this writ petition. The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty from relations who are opposed to their relationship. The petitioners claim to have made a representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Charkhi Dadri (respondent No. 2), in this regard.

Trite to state, it is the bounden duty and obligation of the State to protect the lives and liberty of the people.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of directing the

GURSHARAN SINGH KANG
2020.08.20 14:50
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document Senior Superintendent of Police, Charkhi Dadri (respondent No. 2), to take

appropriate action, as warranted and in accordance with law, upon the representation dated 18.08.2020 (Annexure P-5), and to extend adequate protection to the lives and liberty of the petitioners, if necessary. It shall be open to the police authorities concerned to verify and confirm the identity of the petitioners and their claim.

It is made clear that this Court has not gone into the validity or legality of the marital status claimed by the petitioners and this order shall not be construed as this Court's approval of the said relationship or certification of its validity.

No order as to costs.

August 20, 2020
Kang

(Sanjay Kumar)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No