

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

202

**CRM-M-23601-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.12.2020**

Ravinder Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S.Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Rishma Verma, Advocate for the complainant.

SUVIR SEHGAL J. (ORAL)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Through the instant petition under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.95, dated 21.07.2020 registered under Sections 306/34 of IPC at Police Station Divn. No.2 Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

At the outset, counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that there is a typographical error in the order dated 20.08.2020. The date of the bride leaving India is '02.01.2020' and not '02.06.2020', which has been inadvertently typed.

Status report by way of affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Central Jalandhar has been filed on behalf of the respondent-State. Same is taken on record.

Vide order dated 20.08.2020 passed by this Court, the petitioner was released on interim bail and was directed to join investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Narinder Singh states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the said facts, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 20.08.2020 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

18.12.2020

(SUVIR SEHGAL)

pooja saini

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No