

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12383 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.08.2020

Balwan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Petitioner Balwan Singh, aged about 39 years, a resident of Village Sisle, Tehsil & District Kaithal, by way of filing the instant civil writ petition craves for issuance of a direction to respondents No.1 to 4 i.e. State of Haryana through its Addl. Chief Secretary, Deputy Commissioner, Kaithal, District Development and Panchayat Officer, Kaithal and Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Kaithal to take action against respondent No.5 Krishan Chand, Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Village Sisle, Tehsil and District Kaithal under Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 and for his removal from the post of Sarpanch for indulging in various illegal activities in functioning of Gram Panchayat and for causing huge loss to the Gram Panchayat. A further prayer has been made that an enquiry be got conducted with regard to genuineness of the matriculation certificate submitted by such respondent

at the time of filing of nomination form for the post of Sarpanch.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that before filing of the writ petition, the petitioner had submitted a written representation to respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Kaithal, copy of which has been placed on record as Annexure P5, however, no action has been taken thereon.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Kaithal to look into the representation (Annexure P5) filed by the petitioner and then to take necessary action within a fixed time frame.

Learned State counsel submits that the official respondents would comply with any direction issued by this Court in that regard.

Under the circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of, directing respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Kaithal to look into the representation of the petitioner Annexure P-5 and if he finds that some action in the matter is required to be taken, then the needful be done in accordance within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of order.

21.08.2020

sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes	No
Yes	No