

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23316-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.9.2020

Sahun

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Munfaid Khan, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

The present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure is for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case First Information Report No.338 dated 8.11.2018 registered under Sections 5/13 (2), 17 of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015, Section 11 of Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, 1960, Sections 279, 186, 307, 332, 336, 353, 120-B IPC, Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 and Section 3 of Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act, 1984, registered at Police Station Punhana, District Nuh.

Heard.

On 19.8.2020, while issuing notice of motion the following order was passed by this Court:-

“The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

States that the FIR has been lodged in pursuance of a secret information, wherein, the petitioner is named, however, he was not arrested from the spot. He is neither the owner nor the driver of the vehicle allegedly used in the crime.

Notice of motion for 24.09.2020.

The petitioner is directed to join the investigation. Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court. ”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Magesh, informs that the petitioner has joined investigation in compliance of the order dated 19.8.2020 and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 19.8.2020, vide which the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is made absolute subject to his furnishing bail/ surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

24.9.2020
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable?	Yes	/	No