

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22649-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.05.2020

Laddi Dass @ Dass Laddi

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Rajesh K. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

This is 2nd petition for grant of regular bail in FIR No.91 dated 04.09.2018 under Sections 458, 392, 34 IPC and Sections 323 & 324 IPC (added later on), registered at Police Station Kotwali, Nabha, District Patiala; earlier one was dismissed as withdrawn on 10.01.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that now a period of more than 01 year and 04 months has passed and even statement of the complainant has been recorded, therefore, there is no possibility of tempering with the prosecution evidence. It is further submitted that the FIR was registered at the instance of complainant Dr. Rajesh Goyal. In the first version, the complainant has stated that two persons namely Guriqbal Singh @ Gagan @ Guri and Satgur Dass entered the house. He gave a new version that two persons were standing outside the house including petitioner Laddi Dass @

Dass Laddi and Ranjit Singh @ Jeet. Thereafter, they committed the offence and the person, who entered the house, committed the offence of robbery from wife of the complainant. Learned counsel further submits that similarly situated co-accused Ranjit Singh @ Jeet, who was stated to be standing outside the house, has already been granted the concession of regular bail by the trial Court vide order dated 07.01.2019 i.e. about more than 01 year ago and the petitioner is in custody since 07.09.2018 i.e. for a period of about 01 year and 08 months.

Learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner is involved in one more case under the NDPS Act, however, he is not involved in such or similar case under IPC.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, without commenting anything on merits of the case and considering the allegations against the petitioner and also in view of the fact that similarly situated co-accused Ranjit Singh @ Jeet has already been released on regular bail about 01 year ago by the trial Court, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail subject to furnishing his bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

Petition is disposed of.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

27.05.2020
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No