

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

(Proceedings conducted through Video Conference)

CRM-M No. 23435 of 2020

Date of Decision: 25.8.2020

Ankit @ Golu

---Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

**Present: Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner**

Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana

Rekha Mittal, J.

Ankit @ Golu prays for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 55 dated 30.3.2020 registered in Police Station, Sadar Narwana, District Jind for offence punishable under Sections 394 and 395 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short "IPC"), offence under Sections 201 and 427 IPC added later.

Counsel for the petitioner would argue that role of the petitioner is identical to that of co-accused Mandeep, allowed regular bail by this Court vide order dated 13.8.2020 in CRM-M- 20992 of 2020. It is further argued that the petitioner is ready to face trial without default.

Counsel representing State of Haryana has not disputed factual submissions but opposed the prayer for bail.

Taking into consideration the principle of parity and the fact that conclusion of trial is likely to take its own time, without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the controversy, bail to the petitioner subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of trial court/

Additional Sessions Judge (on duty), Jind. However, he shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) He shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and
- (ii) He shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

Disposed of.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

25.8.2020

PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No