

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-23387-2020
Date of decision: 21.09.2020**

Hardil Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. D. S. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 291 dated 25.06.2020, registered under Section 21-B of the NDPS Act, 1985 at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegations in the FIR, the police apprehended two accused persons, namely Yadwinder Singh @ Yadu and Gurbhej Singh @ Bheja, and recovered 50.8 grams of Heroin from the dashboard of the car. The petitioner was later on nominated on the disclosure of the aforesaid two co-accused.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case.

In reply, learned State counsel has opposed the bail on the ground that petitioner is already involved in FIR No. 205/2017 under the Excise Act, PS-Sangat; FIR No. 166/2016, PS-Sadar Ajmer, Rajasthan and FIR No. 496/2017, under Sections 391, 392, 394, 365 of the IPC, PS-Sadar,

Fatehabad.

Learned State counsel further submits that petitioner is not having a clean antecedents and, therefore, he is not entitled to get the concession of anticipatory bail.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, without commenting on the merits of the case, considering the fact that petitioner is already involved in some other cases/FIRs, this Court does not find him entitled to get the concession of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

21.09.2020

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No