

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COC-1590-2020

Date of Decision: 24.11.2020

Sushil Kumar

...Petitioner

vs.

Ravinder Hooda and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present : Mr. S.K. Garg Narwana, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. AG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Learned Addl. AG, Haryana, states that construction of the boundary wall was made by the respondents on account of being unaware of the order of status quo dated 29.01.2007, in RSA-1387-2001 and that immediately on coming to know about the order of status quo dated 29.01.2007, the respondents stopped construction of the boundary wall and also undertake to fillup the foundations which were dug up for said purpose within three weeks from today.
3. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner who states that in view of the assurance held out by the respondents through the learned Addl. A.G. Haryana he does not press the contempt petition.
4. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the Contempt Petition is disposed of as not calling for any further orders in view of the assurance held out by the respondents through learned Addl. AG, Haryana that the foundations dug up would be filled up within three weeks from today and further no construction would be carried out at site during the operation of order of status quo.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

24.11.2020

'Rajesh-PS'