

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.6104 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.08.2020

Vaneeta Rani and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

It is stated that petitioner No.1 – Vaneeta Rani, aged about 21 years is residing with petitioner No.2 – Subhash Chander, aged about 35 years, in live-in relationship against wishes of her family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 7, who are threatening to interfere in the lives of the petitioners, as such to seek protection in that regard, they have approached this Court by way of filing the present petition.

It is further stated that petitioners had submitted a written representation before respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad dated 14.02.2020 seeking protection, copy of the same being Annexure P4 but no action has been taken thereon.

As a matter of fact, by way of filing the present petition, the petitioners want a seal of approval from this Court on their live-in relationship, which cannot be allowed.

Nevertheless the petition is disposed of directing respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad to look into the representation Annexure P4, assess threat perception to the petitioners and then take suitable action in the matter, if so required.

However, this order shall not have any effect on any civil or criminal action to which the petitioners may be found liable, under the law.

19.08.2020
sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No